

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,754	06/10/2005	Yuji Furuuchi	124221	5425
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 08/20/200 RIDGE, PLC	7	EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 19928			VORTMAN, ANATOLY	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	
		,		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/538,754	FURUUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Anatoly Vortman	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e. cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	une 2005.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	• •					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
··· _		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		by the Everines				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)		•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/538,754

Art Unit: 2835

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Specie I, representative Fig. 1A and 5, wherein the protective element comprises a low melting metal member made of two strips of low-melting metal.

Specie II, representative Fig. 8, wherein the protective element comprises a low melting metal member made of one strips of low-melting metal that has a slit.

Specie III, representative Fig. 10A, wherein the protective element comprises a low melting metal member made of one strip of low-melting metal comprising a thin-walled component.

2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features as explained above. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the <u>mutually exclusive</u> characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to

which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

3. There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their <u>mutually exclusive</u> characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37) CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anatoly Vortman whose telephone number is 571-272-2047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, between 10:00 am and 8:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jayprakash Gandhi can be reached on 571-272-3740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anatoly Vortman/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835